## For the Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2.7

28

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JOSE M. ARTEAGA and MARIA D. ) Case No. 12-1370-SC ARTEAGA, ORDER DENYING WITHOUT Plaintiffs, PREJUDICE DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS v. WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., a/k/a WACHOVIA MORTGAGE, REGIONAL TRUSTEE SERVICES CORPORATION, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, Defendants.

Now before the Court is Defendant Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.'s ("Wells") Motion to Dismiss the Amended Complaint of Plaintiffs Jose M. and Maria D. Arteaga ("Plaintiffs"). ECF Nos. 15 ("Mot."), 14 ("Am. Compl."). Defendant Regional Trustee Service Corporation ("Regional") joins in Wells's motion. ECF No. 17. The motion is fully briefed. ECF Nos. 19 ("Opp'n"), 20 ("Reply").

The motion was set for hearing on June 22, 2012. On June 18, 2012, the Court approved a stipulation signed by Wells, Regional, and Plaintiffs, opting to participate in an alternative dispute resolution ("ADR") process, specifically, court-sponsored mediation. ECF No. 26 ("ADR Order"). The ADR Order gave the parties until September 18, 2012 to complete the mediation. id. (setting deadline of ninety days following signature date of order). On June 20, 2012, the Court vacated the June 22 hearing.

The purpose of mediation is to explore and, if possible, achieve a compromise settlement.

The Ninth Circuit is firmly committed to the rule that the law favors and encourages compromise settlements. There is an overriding public interest in settling and quieting litigation. It is well recognized that settlement agreements are judicially favored as a matter of sound public policy. Settlement agreements conserve judicial time and limit expensive litigation.

Ahern v. Cent. Pac. Freight Lines, 846 F.2d 47, 48 (9th Cir. 1988) (internal quotation marks, citations, brackets omitted). In view of these policies, the Court is reluctant to rule on a dispositive motion while the parties are engaging, or about to engage, in mediation. First, mediation, like ADR generally, holds out the possibility of voluntary resolution of this matter without coercive intervention by the Court. Second, the principle of judicial economy counsels the Court to avoid devoting resources to deciding a dispositive motion when the parties have selected an ADR process which, if successful, will result in voluntary dismissal of the case. Even if mediation does not result in settlement, it may narrow or reframe the issues of the case such that the motion now before the Court becomes partly or even wholly moot.

Accordingly, the Court DENIES Wells's motion to dismiss without prejudice. Wells has leave to re-notice the motion at a later date.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 23, 2012

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE